arXiv:1204.3610v1 [math.NT] 16 Apr 2012

TWO DIMENSIONAL BADLY APPROXIMABLE VECTORS AND SCHMIDT'S GAME

JINPENG AN

Abstract. We prove that for any pair (s,t) of nonnegative numbers with $s+t=1$, the set of (s,t)-badly approximable vectors in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is $(34\sqrt{2})^{-1}$-winning for Schmidt's game.

1. Introduction

This paper is a sequel to our previous paper [1]. We continue the study of winning properties of the set of badly approximable vectors with respect to Schmidt's game. Let $\mathbf{r}=(r_1,\ldots,r_d)$ be a d-tuple of nonnegative numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^d r_i=1$. The set of $\mathbf{r}$-*badly approximable vectors* in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{Bad}(\mathbf{r})=\{(x_1,\ldots,x_d)\in\mathbb{R}^d:\inf_{q\in\mathbb{N}}\max_{1\le i\le d}q^{r_i}\|qx_i\|>0\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the distance of a number to the nearest integer. A well known theorem of W. M. Schmidt [15, 17] states that $\mathbf{Bad}(1/d,\ldots,1/d)$ is 1/2-winning. D. Kleinbock asked in [8] that whether the set $\mathbf{Bad}(\mathbf{r})$ is winning in general (see also [13]). It was proved in [11] that $\mathbf{Bad}(\mathbf{r})$ is winning for a modified Schmidt game (the thickness of $\mathbf{Bad}(\mathbf{r})$ was previous proved in [14]). For similar questions and results for badly approximable matrices, see [8, 10, 12, 16].

In the two dimensional case, it was proved in [1] that for $x\in\mathbb{R}$, if $\inf_{q\in\mathbb{N}}q^{\frac{1}{s}}\|qx\|>0$, then the one dimensional slice

$$\{y\in\mathbb{R}:(x,y)\in\mathbf{Bad}(s,t)\}\tag{1.1}$$

is 1/2-winning, where $s,t\ge 0$ and $s+t=1$. In this paper, we prove that $\mathbf{Bad}(s,t)$ itself is a winning set, thus answer the $d=2$ case of the aforementioned question of Kleinbock affirmatively. Our main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *For any $s,t\ge 0$ with $s+t=1$, the set $\mathbf{Bad}(s,t)$ is $(34\sqrt{2})^{-1}$-winning.*

Using the winning property of the slices (1.1), it was derived in [1] that for any sequence of pairs $(s_n,t_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ with $s_n+t_n=1$, the intersection $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty\mathbf{Bad}(s_n,t_n)$ is thick in $\mathbb{R}^2$. This strengthens the main theorem in [2], where the authors proved Schmidt's conjecture [18]. Theorem 1.1 implies stronger thickness results. For example, since a countable intersection of images of winning sets under uniformly bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms is also winning (and hence thick; see [4, 15]), we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $(s_n,t_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of pairs of nonnegative numbers with $s_n+t_n=1$, and let $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of uniformly bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^2$, that is, there exists $M\ge 1$ such that*

$$M^{-1}|\mathbf{x}_1-\mathbf{x}_2|\le|f_n(\mathbf{x}_1)-f_n(\mathbf{x}_2)|\le M|\mathbf{x}_1-\mathbf{x}_2|,\qquad\forall\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2\in\mathbb{R}^2,n\ge 1,$$

where $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean norm. Then $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty f_n(\mathbf{Bad}(s_n,t_n))$ is a thick subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Date: April 2012.

Research supported by NSFC grant 10901005 and FANEDD grant 200915.

As is well known, badly approximable vectors correspond to certain bounded trajectories on homogeneous spaces. For $(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2$, we denote $h_{(x,y)}=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&x\\0&1&y\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}$. Let $H\cong\mathbb{R}^2$ be the subgroup of $G=SL(3,\mathbb{R})$ consisting of matrices of the form $h_{(x,y)}$. By a *ray* in G, we mean a set of the form $F^+=\{F(u):u\geq 0\}$, where $F:\mathbb{R}\to G$ is a one-parameter subgroup. Consider rays of the form

$$F^+_{(s,t)}=\{\operatorname{diag}(e^{su},e^{tu},e^{-u}):u\geq 0\},\qquad s,t\geq 0, s+t=1. \tag{1.2}$$

Then (x,y) is (s,t)-badly approximable if and only if the trajectory $F^+_{(s,t)}h_{(x,y)}\Gamma$ is bounded in G/Γ, where $\Gamma=SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ (see [3, 8]). Let D be the group of diagonal matrices in G, and consider its sub-semigroup

$$D^+=\{\operatorname{diag}(e^{u_1},e^{u_2},e^{-u_1-u_2}):u_1,u_2\geq 0\}.$$

Then any ray in D^+ is of the form (1.2). Thus Theorem 1.1 amounts to the statement that for any ray F^+ in D^+, the set of $h\in H$ for which $F^+h\Gamma$ is bounded is $(34\sqrt{2})^{-1}$-winning. In a much more general context, the winning property for sets of this form with respect to a modified Schmidt game was established in [12].

It was proved in [6] that the set of $h\in H$ for which $D^+h\Gamma$ is bounded has Hausdorff dimension zero (note that $D^+h_{(x,y)}\Gamma$ is bounded if and only if (x,y) violates Littlewood's conjecture $\inf_{q\in\mathbb{N}}q\|qx\|\|qy\|=0$). A conjecture from [7] states that for any two rays F_1^+,F_2^+ in D, there exists $g\in G$ such that $F_1^+g\Gamma$ and $F_2^+g\Gamma$ are bounded but $Dg\Gamma$ is unbounded. D. Kleinbock observed that if F_1^+ and F_2^+ lie in opposite Weyl chambers, the arguments in [9] can be adapted to prove that the set of $g\in G$ satisfying the conjecture is thick in G. On the other hand, the main theorem in [2] implies that if $(F_n^+)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a countable sequence of rays in D^+ satisfying a technical assumption, then the set

$$\{h\in H:F_n^+h\Gamma\text{ is bounded, }\forall n\geq 1\} \tag{1.3}$$

is thick in H. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that without the technical assumption, the set (1.3) is winning.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 makes use of a variant of the technique in [1]. The proof in [1] of the winning property of the slice (1.1) is based on the observation that for a small interval and a family of its subintervals, the number of bad subintervals is bounded above by a constant which is independent of the total number of subintervals. In analyzing $\mathbf{Bad}(s,t)$ itself, it is natural to replace intervals (resp. subintervals) by squares (resp. subsquares). But in this case, one cannot expect a similar estimate for bad subsquares. However, we are able to show that, in a certain sense, good subsquares spread around the ambient small square. This is sufficient for us to prove Theorem 1.1. In order to formulate the "spreading" property, it is convenient to represent squares as vertices of a rooted tree and color the vertices in a regular manner. In Section 2, we give a criterion for the existence of certain good subtrees in a rooted tree with a regular coloring. Theorem 1.1 will be proved in Sections 3 and 4.

2. REGULAR COLORINGS OF ROOTED TREES

As in [1], we identify a rooted tree $\mathcal{T}$ with the set of its vertices, and denote its nth level by $\mathcal{T}_n$, where $n\geq 0$. For $\tau\in\mathcal{T}$, let $\mathcal{T}(\tau)$ denote the rooted tree formed by the descendants of τ, and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau)$ denote the set of successors of τ. For $\mathcal{V}\subset\mathcal{T}$, denote $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\mathcal{V})=\bigcup_{\tau\in\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau)$. We use the convention that a subtree has the same root as the ambient tree.

Let $D \in \mathbb{N}$. A *D-coloring* of a rooted tree $\mathcal{T}$ is a map $\gamma : \mathcal{T} \to \{1, \dots, D\}$. For $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{T}$ and $1 \le i \le D$, we denote $\mathcal{V}^{(i)} = \mathcal{V} \cap \gamma^{-1}(i)$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be an integer multiple of D, and suppose that $\mathcal{T}$ is N-regular, that is, $\#\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau) = N$ for every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$. We say that a D-coloring of $\mathcal{T}$ is *regular* if for any $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ and $1 \le i \le D$, we have $\#\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau)^{(i)} = N/D$. The following two types of subtrees are of interest to us.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an N-regular rooted tree with a regular D-coloring, and let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{T}$ be a subtree.

- The subtree $\mathcal{S}$ is of *type (I)* if for any $\tau \in \mathcal{S}$ and $1 \le i \le D$, we have $\#\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau)^{(i)} = 1$.
- The subtree $\mathcal{S}$ is of *type (II)* if for any $\tau \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists $1 \le i(\tau) \le D$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau) = \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau)^{(i(\tau))}$.

We will need the following criterion for the existence of subtrees of type (I).

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an N-regular rooted tree with a regular D-coloring, and let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{T}$ be a subtree. Suppose that for every subtree $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{T}$ of type (II), $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{R}$ is infinite. Then $\mathcal{S}$ contains a subtree of type (I).*

Proof. We first prove that under the assumptions of the proposition, for every $h \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{there exists a subtree } \mathcal{F} \text{ of } \mathcal{S} \text{ such that for any } \tau \in \mathcal{F}_n \text{ with}\\ &n < h \text{ and any } 1 \le i \le D, \text{ we have } \#\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau)^{(i)} = 1.\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

If $h = 0$, there is nothing to prove. Assume $h \ge 1$ and (2.1) holds if h is replaced by $h-1$. Let

$$\mathcal{S}_1' = \{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_1 : \text{the intersection of } \mathcal{S}(\tau) \text{ with every subtree of } \mathcal{T}(\tau) \text{ of type (II) is infinite}\}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, if $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_1'$, then $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$ has a subtree $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ such that for any $\tau' \in (\mathcal{F}_\tau)_n$ with $n < h-1$ and any $1 \le i \le D$, we have $\#(\mathcal{F}_\tau)_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau')^{(i)} = 1$. Thus to prove (2.1), it suffices to prove that $(\mathcal{S}_1')^{(i)} \ne \emptyset$ for every $1 \le i \le D$. Suppose on the contrary that $(\mathcal{S}_1')^{(i_0)} = \emptyset$ for some $1 \le i_0 \le D$. Then for every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_1^{(i_0)}$, $\mathcal{T}(\tau)$ has a subtree $\mathcal{R}_\tau$ of type (II) such that $\mathcal{S}(\tau) \cap \mathcal{R}_\tau$ is finite whenever $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_1^{(i_0)}$. Let $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{T}$ be the subtree such that $\mathcal{R}_1 = \mathcal{T}_1^{(i_0)}$ and $\mathcal{R}(\tau) = \mathcal{R}_\tau$ for every $\tau \in \mathcal{R}_1$. Then $\mathcal{R}$ is of type (II) and

$$\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{R} = \{\text{the root of } \mathcal{T}\} \cup \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_1^{(i_0)}} \mathcal{S}(\tau) \cap \mathcal{R}_\tau$$

is finite. This contradicts the assumption of the proposition.

We now prove the proposition by considering the rooted tree $\mathscr{F}$ constructed as follows. For $h \ge 0$, the hth level $\mathscr{F}_h$ is the set of subtrees $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{h+1} = \emptyset$ and $\#\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau)^{(i)} = 1$ for any $\tau \in \mathcal{F}_n$ with $n < h$ and any $1 \le i \le D$. Define $\mathcal{F} \in \mathscr{F}_{h+1}$ to be a successor of $\mathcal{F}' \in \mathscr{F}_h$ whenever $\mathcal{F}' = \bigcup_{n=0}^{h} \mathcal{F}_n$. In view of (2.1), we have $\mathscr{F}_h \ne \emptyset$ for every $h \ge 0$. By König's lemma (see [5, Lemma 8.1.2]), $\mathscr{F}$ has an infinite path starting from the root. This means that there exists a family of subtrees $\{\mathcal{F}(h) \in \mathscr{F}_h : h \ge 0\}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(h) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{h} \mathcal{F}(h+1)_n$ for every h. It follows that $\bigcup_{h=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}(h)$ is a subtree of type (I) contained in $\mathcal{S}$. □

3. THE WINNING STRATEGY

The notion of Schmidt's game was introduced in [15]. It involves two real numbers $\alpha, \beta \in (0,1)$ and is played by two players, say Alice and Bob. Restricting the attention to $\mathbb{R}^2$, Bob starts the game by choosing a closed disk $\mathbf{B}_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. After $\mathbf{B}_n$ is chosen, Alice chooses a closed disk $\mathbf{A}_n \subset \mathbf{B}_n$ with $\rho(\mathbf{A}_n) = \alpha\rho(\mathbf{B}_n)$, and Bob chooses a closed disk $\mathbf{B}_{n+1} \subset \mathbf{A}_n$ with $\rho(\mathbf{B}_{n+1}) = \beta\rho(\mathbf{A}_n)$, where $\rho(\cdot)$ denotes the radius of a disk. A subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is (α, β)-*winning* if Alice can play so that the single point in $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_n$ lies in X, and is α-*winning* if it is (α, β)-winning for any $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Let $\alpha_0 = (34\sqrt{2})^{-1}$. Then Theorem 1.1 states that for any $s, t \geq 0$ with $s+t = 1$ and any $\beta \in (0, 1)$, the set $\mathbf{Bad}(s, t)$ is (α_0, β)-winning. It is well known that $\mathbf{Bad}(0, 1)$ is equal to the Cartesian product of $\mathbb{R}$ and the set of badly approximable numbers, and is (α, β)-winning for any $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ with $1 - 2\alpha + \alpha\beta > 0$ (see [15]). The situation for $\mathbf{Bad}(1, 0)$ is similar. Thus it suffices to consider the case where $s, t > 0$.

As in [1], we consider rational lines in $\mathbb{R}^2$ of the form

$$L(A, B, C) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : Ax + By + C = 0\},$$

where $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(A, B) \neq (0, 0)$. It is natural to make the convention that when a rational line is expressed as above, then A, B, C are coprime. Thus the vector (A, B, C) is determined by $L(A, B, C)$ up to a negative sign. We also assume that when a rational point in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is expressed as $(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{r}{q})$, then $q > 0$ and the integers p, q, r are coprime. The following lemma is a baby version of [2, Lemma 1] (see also [1, Lemma 4.1]).

Lemma 3.1. *To each* $P = (\frac{p}{q}, \frac{r}{q}) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$, *one can attach a rational line* $L_P = L(A_P, B_P, C_P)$ *passing through* P *such that*

$$|A_P| \leq q^s, \qquad |B_P| \leq q^t. \tag{3.1}$$

In what follows, we describe a winning strategy for Alice in playing the (α_0, β)-game. For any choice of the closed disc $\mathbf{B}_0$ made by Bob, Alice chooses the closed disc $\mathbf{A}_0 \subset \mathbf{B}_0$ with $\rho(\mathbf{A}_0) = \alpha_0\rho(\mathbf{B}_0)$ arbitrarily. Let

$$l = 2\rho(\mathbf{A}_0), \qquad R = (\alpha_0\beta)^{-1}, \qquad m = 17.$$

By a *square* we mean a set of the form

$$\Sigma = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + \ell(\Sigma), y_0 \leq y \leq y_0 + \ell(\Sigma)\},$$

where $\ell(\Sigma) > 0$ is the side length of Σ. Let Σ_0 be the circumscribed square of $\mathbf{A}_0$. Then $\ell(\Sigma_0) = l$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an $m^2[R/m]^2$-regular rooted tree with a regular $[R/m]^2$-coloring, where $[\,\cdot\,]$ denotes the integer part of a real number. We choose and fix an injective map Φ from $\mathcal{T}$ to the set of subsquares of Σ_0 satisfying the following conditions:

- For any $n \geq 0$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_n$, we have $\ell(\Phi(\tau)) = lR^{-n}$. In particular, the root of $\mathcal{T}$ is mapped to Σ_0.
- For $\tau, \tau' \in \mathcal{T}$, if τ is a descendant of τ', then $\Phi(\tau) \subset \Phi(\tau')$.
- For any $n \geq 1$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$, the interiors of the squares $\{\Phi(\tau') : \tau' \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau)\}$ are mutually disjoint, the union $\bigcup_{\tau' \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau)} \Phi(\tau')$ is a square of side length $m[R/m]lR^{-n}$, and for any $1 \leq i \leq [R/m]^2$, the union $\bigcup_{\tau' \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau)^{(i)}} \Phi(\tau')$ is a square of side length mlR^{-n}.

It is easy to see that for any $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$ with $n \geq 1$ and any subsquare Σ of $\Phi(\tau)$ of side length $2mlR^{-n}$, there exists $1 \leq i \leq [R/m]^2$ such that $\bigcup_{\tau' \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau)^{(i)}} \Phi(\tau') \subset \Sigma$.

Let

$$c = \min\left\{\frac{1}{6}lR^{-1}, \frac{1}{26}R^{-2-\frac{4}{s^2t^2}}\right\}. \tag{3.2}$$

For $P = (\frac{p}{q}, \frac{r}{q}) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$, we denote

$$\Delta(P) = \left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \left|x - \frac{p}{q}\right| \leq \frac{c}{q^{1+s}}, \left|y - \frac{r}{q}\right| \leq \frac{c}{q^{1+t}}\right\}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{P\in\mathbb{Q}^2} \Delta(P) \subset \mathbf{Bad}(s,t). \tag{3.3}$$

For $n \geq 1$, let $H_n = 6cl^{-1}R^n$,

$$\mathscr{P}_n = \left\{ P = \left(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{r}{q}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 : H_n \leq q\max\{|A_P|, |B_P|\} < H_{n+1} \right\}. \tag{3.4}$$

By (3.2), we have $H_1 = 6cl^{-1}R \leq 1$. So $\mathbb{Q}^2 = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathscr{P}_n$. We inductively define a subtree $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ as follows. Let $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{T}_0$. If $n \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{S}_{n-1}$ is defined, we let

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\mathcal{S}_{n-1}) : \Phi(\tau) \cap \bigcup_{P\in\mathscr{P}_n} \Delta(P) = \emptyset\}. \tag{3.5}$$

Then $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \mathcal{S}_n$ is a subtree of $\mathcal{T}$. Note that

$$\Phi(\tau) \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{P\in\mathscr{P}_n} \Delta(P), \qquad \forall n \geq 1, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_n. \tag{3.6}$$

The following proposition will be proved in the next section.

Proposition 3.2. *The tree $\mathcal{S}$ contains a subtree of type (I).*

In the rest of this section, we assume Proposition 3.2 and prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{S}'$ be a subtree of $\mathcal{S}$ of type (I). We inductively prove that for every $n \geq 0$,

$$\text{Alice can choose } \mathbf{A}_n \text{ to be the inscribed closed disc of } \Phi(\tau_n) \text{ for some } \tau_n \in \mathcal{S}'_n. \tag{3.7}$$

If $n = 0$, there is nothing to prove. Assume $n \geq 1$ and Alice has chosen $\mathbf{A}_{n-1}$ as the inscribed closed disc of $\Phi(\tau_{n-1})$, where $\tau_{n-1} \in \mathcal{S}'_{n-1}$. For any choice $\mathbf{B}_n \subset \mathbf{A}_{n-1}$ of Bob, the inscribed square of $\mathbf{B}_n$ has side length

$$\sqrt{2}\rho(\mathbf{B}_n) = \sqrt{2}\beta\rho(\mathbf{A}_{n-1}) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\beta\ell(\Phi(\tau_{n-1})) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\beta l R^{-n+1} = 2mlR^{-n}.$$

Thus there exists $1 \leq i \leq [R/m]^2$ such that $\bigcup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau_{n-1})^{(i)}} \Phi(\tau) \subset \mathbf{B}_n$. Let τ_n be the unique vertex in $\mathcal{S}'_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau_{n-1})^{(i)}$. Then $\Phi(\tau_n) \subset \mathbf{B}_n$. Note that the radius of the inscribed closed disc of $\Phi(\tau_n)$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2}\ell(\Phi(\tau_n)) = \frac{1}{2}R^{-1}\ell(\Phi(\tau_{n-1})) = \alpha_0\beta\rho(\mathbf{A}_{n-1}) = \alpha_0\rho(\mathbf{B}_n).$$

So Alice can choose $\mathbf{A}_n$ to be the inscribed closed disc of $\Phi(\tau_n)$. This proves (3.7).

In view of (3.7), (3.6) and (3.3), we have

$$\bigcap_{n=0}^\infty \mathbf{A}_n \subset \bigcap_{n=0}^\infty \Phi(\tau_n) \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{P\in\mathscr{P}_n} \Delta(P) = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{P\in\mathbb{Q}^2} \Delta(P) \subset \mathbf{Bad}(s,t).$$

This proves the theorem. □

4. Proof of Proposition 3.2

We begin the proof by dividing $\mathbb{Q}^2$ into the following two parts:

$$\mathscr{P}^{(1)} = \{P \in \mathbb{Q}^2 : |A_P| \le |B_P|\},$$

$$\mathscr{P}^{(2)} = \{P \in \mathbb{Q}^2 : |A_P| \ge |B_P|\}.$$

For $n \ge 1$, we denote $\mathscr{P}_n^{(\delta)} = \mathscr{P}_n \cap \mathscr{P}^{(\delta)}$, $\delta = 1, 2$. Then $\mathscr{P}_n = \mathscr{P}_n^{(1)} \cup \mathscr{P}_n^{(2)}$. If $P = (\frac{p}{q}, \frac{r}{q}) \in \mathscr{P}_n^{(1)}$, it follows from (3.4) that

$$H_n \le q|B_P| < H_{n+1}. \tag{4.1}$$

In view of (3.1), we have

$$|B_P| < H_{n+1}^{\frac{t}{1+t}}. \tag{4.2}$$

Let $\lambda = \frac{4}{t^2}$, $\mu = \frac{1}{t(1+t)}$,

$$\mathscr{P}_{n,1}^{(1)} = \{P \in \mathscr{P}_n^{(1)} : H_{n+1}^{\frac{t}{1+t}} R^{-\lambda} \le |B_P| < H_{n+1}^{\frac{t}{1+t}}\}. \tag{4.3}$$

For $2 \le k \le n$, let

$$\mathscr{P}_{n,k}^{(1)} = \{P \in \mathscr{P}_n^{(1)} : H_{n+1}^{\frac{t}{1+t}} R^{-\lambda-(k-1)\mu} \le |B_P| < H_{n+1}^{\frac{t}{1+t}} R^{-\lambda-(k-2)\mu}\}. \tag{4.4}$$

It is easy to check that

$$H_{n+1}^{\frac{t}{1+t}} R^{-\lambda-(n-1)\mu} = H_1^{\frac{t}{1+t}} R^{-\frac{sn}{t}-\frac{4+3t}{t^2(1+t)}} \le 1.$$

So $\mathscr{P}_n^{(1)} = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathscr{P}_{n,k}^{(1)}$. Similarly, let $\lambda' = \frac{4}{s^2}$, $\mu' = \frac{1}{s(1+s)}$, and define

$$\mathscr{P}_{n,1}^{(2)} = \{P \in \mathscr{P}_n^{(2)} : H_{n+1}^{\frac{s}{1+s}} R^{-\lambda'} \le |A_P| < H_{n+1}^{\frac{s}{1+s}}\},$$

$$\mathscr{P}_{n,k}^{(2)} = \{P \in \mathscr{P}_n^{(2)} : H_{n+1}^{\frac{s}{1+s}} R^{-\lambda'-(k-1)\mu'} \le |A_P| < H_{n+1}^{\frac{s}{1+s}} R^{-\lambda'-(k-2)\mu'}\}, \qquad 2 \le k \le n.$$

Then $\mathscr{P}_n^{(2)} = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathscr{P}_{n,k}^{(2)}$. The following lemma is the two dimensional analogue of [1, Lemma 4.2].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $n \ge 1$, $1 \le k \le n$, $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{n-k}$ and $\delta \in \{1, 2\}$. Then the map $P \mapsto L_P$ is constant on*

$$\mathscr{P}_{n,k}^{(\delta)}(\tau) = \{P \in \mathscr{P}_{n,k}^{(\delta)} : \Phi(\tau) \cap \Delta(P) \ne \emptyset\}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\delta = 1$. Let $P_1 = (\frac{p_1}{q_1}, \frac{r_1}{q_1})$ and $P_2 = (\frac{p_2}{q_2}, \frac{r_2}{q_2})$ be distinct points in $\mathscr{P}_{n,k}^{(1)}(\tau)$. We need to prove that $L_{P_1} = L_{P_2}$. Suppose $L_{P_i} = L(A_i, B_i, C_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. It follows that

$$\left|\frac{p_1}{q_1} - \frac{p_2}{q_2}\right| \le \frac{c}{q_1^{1+s}} + \frac{c}{q_2^{1+s}} + lR^{-n+k}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\frac{c}{q_i^{1+t}} \le \frac{c}{q_i|B_i|} \le \frac{c}{H_n} = \frac{1}{6} lR^{-n} \le lR^{-n+k}, \qquad i = 1, 2, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\left|\frac{r_1}{q_1} - \frac{r_2}{q_2}\right| \le \frac{c}{q_1^{1+t}} + \frac{c}{q_2^{1+t}} + lR^{-n+k} \le 3lR^{-n+k}. \tag{4.7}$$

We first assume that $k = 1$. In this case, it follows from (4.1) and (4.3) that

$$H_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{1+t}} R^{-1} \le q_i \le H_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{1+t}} R^{\lambda}, \qquad i = 1, 2. \tag{4.8}$$

In view of (4.5) and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|A_1p_2+B_1r_2+C_1q_2| =&q_2\left|A_1\left(\frac{p_2}{q_2}-\frac{p_1}{q_1}\right)+B_1\left(\frac{r_2}{q_2}-\frac{r_1}{q_1}\right)\right|\\ \le&q_2|A_1|\left(\frac{c}{q_1^{1+s}}+\frac{c}{q_2^{1+s}}+lR^{-n+1}\right)+3lq_2|B_1|R^{-n+1}\\ \le&q_2q_1^s\left(\frac{c}{q_1^{1+s}}+\frac{c}{q_2^{1+s}}\right)+4lq_2|B_1|R^{-n+1}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

By (4.8), we have

$$q_2q_1^s\left(\frac{c}{q_1^{1+s}}+\frac{c}{q_2^{1+s}}\right)=c\left(\frac{q_2}{q_1}+\frac{q_1^s}{q_2^s}\right)\le 2cR^{1+\lambda}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (4.8) and (4.2) that

$$4lq_2|B_1|R^{-n+1}\le 4lH_{n+1}R^{-n+1+\lambda}=24cR^{2+\lambda}.$$

Substituting the above two inequalities into (4.9) and taking (3.2) into account, we obtain

$$|A_1p_2+B_1r_2+C_1q_2|\le 26cR^{2+\lambda}<1.$$

This implies that $A_1p_2+B_1r_2+C_1q_2=0$. Thus L_{P_1} is the line passing through P_1 and P_2. Similarly, L_{P_2} is the line passing through P_1 and P_2. Hence $L_{P_1}=L_{P_2}$. This proves the $k=1$ case of the lemma.

In what follows we assume that $k\ge 2$. In view of (4.1) and (4.4), we have

$$H_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{1+t}}R^{\lambda+(k-2)\mu-1}\le q_i\le H_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{1+t}}R^{\lambda+(k-1)\mu},\qquad i=1,2.\tag{4.10}$$

Denote

$$M_A=H_{n+1}^{\frac{s}{1+t}}R^{s(\lambda+(k-1)\mu)},\qquad M_B=H_{n+1}^{\frac{t}{1+t}}R^{-(\lambda+(k-2)\mu)}.$$

Then it follows from (4.10) and (4.4) that

$$|A_i|\le q_i^s\le M_A,\qquad 1\le|B_i|\le M_B.$$

We first verify

$$M_B^2\le M_A^sM_B^{2+s}\le M_A^{1+t}M_B^{1+t}\le\frac{1}{16}cl^{-1}R^{n-k-1-\mu},\tag{4.11}$$

In view of

$$\frac{M_B^s}{M_A^t}=\frac{R^{-s(\lambda+(k-2)\mu)}}{R^{st(\lambda+(k-1)\mu)}}\le 1,$$

it follows that

$$\frac{M_B^2}{M_A^sM_B^{2+s}}\le\frac{M_B^{1+\frac{1}{t}}}{M_A^sM_B^{2+s}}=\left(\frac{M_B^s}{M_A^t}\right)^{\frac{s}{t}}\le 1,\qquad\frac{M_A^sM_B^{2+s}}{M_A^{1+t}M_B^{1+t}}=\left(\frac{M_B^s}{M_A^t}\right)^2\le 1.$$

This proves the first two inequalities in (4.11). It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}16lM_A^{1+t}M_B^{1+t}=&16lH_{n+1}R^{(1-t^2)(\lambda+(k-1)\mu)-(1+t)(\lambda+(k-2)\mu)}\\ =&96cR^{-(1+\frac{2}{t}+\frac{1}{1+t})}R^{n-k-1-\mu}\le cR^{n-k-1-\mu}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the third inequality in (4.11). Moreover, by (4.10) and (4.6), we have

$$\frac{c|A_i|}{q_j^{1+s}}=|B_j|\frac{|A_i|}{q_i^s}\frac{q_i^s}{q_j^s}\frac{c}{q_j|B_j|}\le lM_BR^{-n+k+1+\mu},\qquad i,j\in\{1,2\},\tag{4.12}$$

Finally, it follows from (4.5) and (4.12) that

$$\begin{aligned}|A_i|\left|\frac{p_1}{q_1}-\frac{p_2}{q_2}\right| &\le \frac{c|A_i|}{q_1^{1+s}}+\frac{c|A_i|}{q_2^{1+s}}+l|A_i|R^{-n+k}\\ &\le 2lM_BR^{-n+k+1+\mu}+l|B_i|R^{-n+k}\\ &\le 3lM_BR^{-n+k+1+\mu}. \qquad (4.13)\end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that $L_{P_1}=L_{P_2}$ by contradiction. Suppose $L_{P_1}\ne L_{P_2}$. We first consider the case where L_{P_1} is parallel to L_{P_2}. In this case, we have $A_1B_2-A_2B_1=0$. Thus either $A_1C_2-A_2C_1\ne 0$, or $B_1C_2-B_2C_1\ne 0$. In view of

$$C_i=-\left(A_i\frac{p_i}{q_i}+B_i\frac{r_i}{q_i}\right),\qquad i=1,2,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}A_1C_2-A_2C_1&=A_1A_2\left(\frac{p_1}{q_1}-\frac{p_2}{q_2}\right)+A_1B_2\left(\frac{r_1}{q_1}-\frac{r_2}{q_2}\right),\\ B_1C_2-B_2C_1&=A_1B_2\left(\frac{p_1}{q_1}-\frac{p_2}{q_2}\right)+B_1B_2\left(\frac{r_1}{q_1}-\frac{r_2}{q_2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus by (4.13), (4.7), and (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}1&\le|A_1C_2-A_2C_1|+|B_1C_2-B_2C_1|\\ &\le|A_1|(|A_2|+|B_2|)\left|\frac{p_1}{q_1}-\frac{p_2}{q_2}\right|+|B_2|(|A_1|+|B_1|)\left|\frac{r_1}{q_1}-\frac{r_2}{q_2}\right|\\ &\le 6l|B_2|M_BR^{-n+k+1+\mu}+6l|B_1B_2|R^{-n+k}\\ &\le 12lM_B^2R^{-n+k+1+\mu}\le c<1.\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction.

We now suppose that L_{P_1} is not parallel to L_{P_2}. Let $P_0=(\frac{p_0}{q_0},\frac{r_0}{q_0})\in\mathbb{Q}^2$ be the intersection of L_{P_1} and L_{P_2}. Then $A_1B_2-A_2B_1$ is a nonzero integer and is divisible by q_0. Thus

$$q_0\le|A_1B_2-A_2B_1|\le|A_1B_2|+|A_2B_1|\le 2M_AM_B. \qquad (4.14)$$

Hence it follows from (4.12) that

$$\frac{cq_0}{q_1^{1+s}}\le|B_2|\frac{c|A_1|}{q_1^{1+s}}+|B_1|\frac{c|A_2|}{q_1^{1+s}}\le 2lM_B^2R^{-n+k+1+\mu}. \qquad (4.15)$$

We prove the following auxiliary inequalities:

$$q_0\left|\frac{p_1}{q_1}-\frac{p_0}{q_0}\right|\le 6lM_B^2R^{-n+k+1+\mu}, \qquad (4.16)$$

$$q_0\left|\frac{r_1}{q_1}-\frac{r_0}{q_0}\right|\le 6lM_AM_BR^{-n+k+1+\mu}. \qquad (4.17)$$

In view of

$$A_i\left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}-\frac{p_0}{q_0}\right)+B_i\left(\frac{r_i}{q_i}-\frac{r_0}{q_0}\right)=0,\qquad i=1,2,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(A_1B_2-A_2B_1)\left(\frac{p_1}{q_1}-\frac{p_0}{q_0}\right)&=-A_2B_1\left(\frac{p_1}{q_1}-\frac{p_2}{q_2}\right)-B_1B_2\left(\frac{r_1}{q_1}-\frac{r_2}{q_2}\right),\\ (A_1B_2-A_2B_1)\left(\frac{r_1}{q_1}-\frac{r_0}{q_0}\right)&=A_1A_2\left(\frac{p_1}{q_1}-\frac{p_2}{q_2}\right)+A_1B_2\left(\frac{r_1}{q_1}-\frac{r_2}{q_2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

By (4.14), (4.13) and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_0\left|\frac{p_1}{q_1}-\frac{p_0}{q_0}\right| \le& |A_1B_2-A_2B_1|\left|\frac{p_1}{q_1}-\frac{p_0}{q_0}\right| \le |A_2B_1|\left|\frac{p_1}{q_1}-\frac{p_2}{q_2}\right| + |B_1B_2|\left|\frac{r_1}{q_1}-\frac{r_2}{q_2}\right| \\ \le& 3lM_B^2R^{-n+k+1+\mu}+3lM_B^2R^{-n+k} \le 6lM_B^2R^{-n+k+1+\mu} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q_0\left|\frac{r_1}{q_1}-\frac{r_0}{q_0}\right| \le& |A_1B_2-A_2B_1|\left|\frac{r_1}{q_1}-\frac{r_0}{q_0}\right| \le |A_1A_2|\left|\frac{p_1}{q_1}-\frac{p_2}{q_2}\right| + |A_1B_2|\left|\frac{r_1}{q_1}-\frac{r_2}{q_2}\right| \\ \le& 3lM_AM_BR^{-n+k+1+\mu}+3lM_AM_BR^{-n+k} \le 6lM_AM_BR^{-n+k+1+\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.16) and (4.17).

Next we prove that $\Delta(P_1)\subset\Delta(P_0)$. Suppose $(x,y)\in\Delta(P_1)$. In view of (4.15), (4.16), (4.14) and (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_0^{1+s}\left|x-\frac{p_0}{q_0}\right| \le& q_0^{1+s}\left|x-\frac{p_1}{q_1}\right| + q_0^{1+s}\left|\frac{p_1}{q_1}-\frac{p_0}{q_0}\right| \le q_0^s\left(\frac{cq_0}{q_1^{1+s}}+q_0\left|\frac{p_1}{q_1}-\frac{p_0}{q_0}\right|\right) \\ \le& 8lq_0^sM_B^2R^{-n+k+1+\mu} \le 16lM_A^sM_B^{2+s}R^{-n+k+1+\mu} \le c. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (4.17), (4.14), (4.6) and (4.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} q_0^{1+t}\left|y-\frac{r_0}{q_0}\right| \le& q_0^{1+t}\left|y-\frac{r_1}{q_1}\right| + q_0^{1+t}\left|\frac{r_1}{q_1}-\frac{r_0}{q_0}\right| \le q_0^{1+t}\frac{c}{q_1^{1+t}} + 6lq_0^tM_AM_BR^{-n+k+1+\mu} \\ \le& 4lM_A^{1+t}M_B^{1+t}R^{-n+k}+12lM_A^{1+t}M_B^{1+t}R^{-n+k+1+\mu} \\ \le& 16lM_A^{1+t}M_B^{1+t}R^{-n+k+1+\mu} \le c. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(x,y)\in\Delta(P_0)$. This proves $\Delta(P_1)\subset\Delta(P_0)$.

Let $n_0\ge 1$ be the unique integer such that $P_0\in\mathscr{P}_{n_0}$. We claim that

$$n_0 \ge n-k+1. \tag{4.18}$$

In fact, if $n_0\le n-k$, then $\mathcal{S}_{n_0}$ contains an ancestor τ' of τ. By (3.5), we have

$$\Phi(\tau)\cap\Delta(P_1)\subset\Phi(\tau')\cap\Delta(P_0)=\emptyset.$$

This contradicts $P_1\in\mathscr{P}_{n,k}^{(1)}(\tau)$.

In view of (3.4) and (4.18), we have

$$\max\{q_0^{1+s},q_0^{1+t}\}\ge q_0\max\{|A_{P_0}|,|B_{P_0}|\}\ge H_{n_0}\ge H_{n-k+1}=6cl^{-1}R^{n-k+1}. \tag{4.19}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (4.14) that

$$q_0\le|A_1B_2|+|A_2B_1|\le 2|B_1B_2|\le 2M_B^2. \tag{4.20}$$

In view of (4.14), (4.20) and (4.11), we have

$$\max\{q_0^{1+s},q_0^{1+t}\}\le\max\{4(M_AM_B)^sM_B^2,4M_A^{1+t}M_B^{1+t}\}\le cl^{-1}R^{n-k-1-\mu}.$$

This contradicts (4.19). Thus the proof of Lemma 4.1 is completed. □

Let $w>0$. By a *strip* of width w, we mean a subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ of the form

$$\mathcal{L}=\{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^2:|\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{u}-a|\le w/2\},$$

where the dot denotes the standard inner product, $\mathbf{u}\in\mathbb{R}^2$ is a unit vector, and $a\in\mathbb{R}$. Lemma 4.1 implies the following statement.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $n\ge1$, $1\le k\le n$, $\tau\in\mathcal{S}_{n-k}$ and $\delta\in\{1,2\}$, there exists a strip of width $\frac{2}{3}lR^{-n}$ which contains all the rectangles $\{\Delta(P):P\in\mathscr{P}_{n,k}^{(\delta)}(\tau)\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, there exists $(A,B,C)\in\mathbb{Z}^3$ with $(A,B)\neq(0,0)$ such that for any $P=(\frac{p}{q},\frac{r}{q})\in\mathscr{P}^{(\delta)}_{n,k}(\tau)$, we have

$$|A|\le q^s,\quad |B|\le q^t,\quad Ap+Br+Cq=0,\quad q\max\{|A|,|B|\}\ge H_n.$$

For such P, if $(x,y)\in\Delta(P)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}|Ax+By+C|&=\left|A\left(x-\frac{p}{q}\right)+B\left(y-\frac{r}{q}\right)\right|\\&\le|A|\left|x-\frac{p}{q}\right|+|B|\left|y-\frac{r}{q}\right|\le q^s\frac{c}{q^{1+s}}+q^t\frac{c}{q^{1+t}}=\frac{2c}{q}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{|Ax+By+C|}{\sqrt{A^2+B^2}}\le\frac{2c}{q\max\{|A|,|B|\}}\le\frac{2c}{H_n}=\frac{1}{3}lR^{-n}.$$

This implies that $\Delta(P)$ is contained in the strip

$$\left\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2:\frac{|Ax+By+C|}{\sqrt{A^2+B^2}}\le\frac{1}{3}lR^{-n}\right\},$$

which has width $\frac{2}{3}lR^{-n}$. □

The following lemma gives an upper bound for the number of certain squares which intersect a thin strip.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{R}\subset\mathcal{T}$ be a subtree of type (II), let $n\ge 1$, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a strip of width $\frac{2}{3}lR^{-n}$. Then for any $1\le k\le n$ and $\tau\in\mathcal{R}_{n-k}$, we have*

$$\#\{\tau'\in\mathcal{R}(\tau)_k:\Phi(\tau')\cap\mathcal{L}\neq\emptyset\}\le(3m-2)^k.$$

Proof. For $\mathcal{V}\subset\mathcal{R}$, we denote $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{L}}=\{\tau'\in\mathcal{V}:\Phi(\tau')\cap\mathcal{L}\neq\emptyset\}$. We prove the lemma by showing that

$$\#\mathcal{R}(\tau)^{\mathcal{L}}_{k'}\le(3m-2)^{k'},\qquad\forall k'\in\{0,\dots,k\}.\tag{4.21}$$

Firstly, we note that if $0\le n'\le n-1$ and $\tau'\in\mathcal{R}_{n'}$, then

$$\#\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau')^{\mathcal{L}}\le 3m-2.\tag{4.22}$$

In fact, since the m^2 squares $\{\Phi(\tau''):\tau''\in\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau')\}$ have side lengths $lR^{-n'-1}$, and their union is a square of side length $mlR^{-n'-1}$, it is easy to see that a strip of width less than $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}lR^{-n'-1}$ intersects at most $3m-2$ squares $\Phi(\tau'')$. We now prove (4.21) by induction on k'. If $k'=0$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $1\le k'\le k$ and (4.21) holds if k' is replaced by $k'-1$. In view of

$$\mathcal{R}(\tau)^{\mathcal{L}}_{k'}=\bigcup_{\tau'\in\mathcal{R}(\tau)^{\mathcal{L}}_{k'-1}}\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau')^{\mathcal{L}},$$

it follows from (4.22) and the induction hypothesis that

$$\#\mathcal{R}(\tau)^{\mathcal{L}}_{k'}=\sum_{\tau'\in\mathcal{R}(\tau)^{\mathcal{L}}_{k'-1}}\#\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{suc}}(\tau')^{\mathcal{L}}\le(3m-2)\#\mathcal{R}(\tau)^{\mathcal{L}}_{k'-1}\le(3m-2)^{k'}.$$

This proves (4.21). □

Combining Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we obtain

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{T}$ be a subtree of type (II). Then for any $n \geq 1$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{n-k} \cap \mathcal{R}_{n-k}$ and $\delta \in \{1, 2\}$, we have*

$$\#\{\tau' \in \mathcal{R}(\tau)_k : \Phi(\tau') \cap \bigcup_{P \in \mathscr{P}_{n,k}^{(\delta)}(\tau)} \Delta(P) \neq \emptyset\} \leq (3m-2)^k.$$

We now prove Proposition 3.2 using Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 4.4.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. In view of Proposition 2.2, it suffices to prove that the intersection of $\mathcal{S}$ with every subtree of type (II) is infinite. Let $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{T}$ be a subtree of type (II), and denote $a_n = \#\mathcal{S}_n \cap \mathcal{R}_n$. Then $a_0 = 1$. We prove the infinity of $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{R}$ by showing that for any $n \geq 1$,

$$a_n > 135 a_{n-1}. \tag{4.23}$$

It is easy to see from (3.5) that $\mathcal{R}_{\text{suc}}(\mathcal{S}_{n-1} \cap \mathcal{R}_{n-1})$ is the disjoint union of $\mathcal{S}_n \cap \mathcal{R}_n$ and

$$\mathcal{U}_n = \{\tau \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{suc}}(\mathcal{S}_{n-1} \cap \mathcal{R}_{n-1}) : \Phi(\tau) \cap \bigcup_{P \in \mathscr{P}_n} \Delta(P) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Thus

$$a_n = \#\mathcal{R}_{\text{suc}}(\mathcal{S}_{n-1} \cap \mathcal{R}_{n-1}) - \#\mathcal{U}_n = m^2 a_{n-1} - \#\mathcal{U}_n. \tag{4.24}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_n =& \bigcup_{\delta=1}^{2} \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \{\tau' \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{suc}}(\mathcal{S}_{n-1} \cap \mathcal{R}_{n-1}) : \Phi(\tau') \cap \bigcup_{P \in \mathscr{P}_{n,k}^{(\delta)}} \Delta(P) \neq \emptyset\} \\
\subset& \bigcup_{\delta=1}^{2} \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{n-k} \cap \mathcal{R}_{n-k}} \{\tau' \in \mathcal{R}(\tau)_k : \Phi(\tau') \cap \bigcup_{P \in \mathscr{P}_{n,k}^{(\delta)}} \Delta(P) \neq \emptyset\} \\
=& \bigcup_{\delta=1}^{2} \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{n-k} \cap \mathcal{R}_{n-k}} \{\tau' \in \mathcal{R}(\tau)_k : \Phi(\tau') \cap \bigcup_{P \in \mathscr{P}_{n,k}^{(\delta)}(\tau)} \Delta(P) \neq \emptyset\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows from Corollary 4.4 that

$$\#\mathcal{U}_n \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} (3m-2)^k a_{n-k}. \tag{4.25}$$

From (4.24) and (4.25), we obtain

$$a_n \geq m^2 a_{n-1} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} (3m-2)^k a_{n-k} = 289 a_{n-1} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} 49^k a_{n-k}. \tag{4.26}$$

By letting $n = 1$ in (4.26), we see that $a_1 \geq 191$. So (4.23) holds for $n = 1$. Assume $n \geq 2$ and (4.23) holds if n is replaced by $1, \ldots, n-1$. Then for any $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have

$$a_{n-k} \leq 135^{-k+1} a_{n-1}.$$

Substituting this into (4.26), we obtain

$$a_n \geq \left(289 - 270 \sum_{k=1}^{n} (49/135)^k \right) a_{n-1} > 135 a_{n-1}.$$

This proves (4.23). □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Dmitry Kleinbock for helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] J. An, *Badziahin-Pollington-Velani's theorem and Schmidt's game*, preprint, arXiv:1203.2996.
[2] D. Badziahin, A. Pollington, S. Velani, *On a problem in simultaneous Diophantine approximation: Schmidt's conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) 174 (2011), no. 3, 1837–1883.
[3] S. G. Dani, *Divergent trajectories of flows on homogeneous spaces and Diophantine approximation*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 359 (1985), 55–89.
[4] S. G. Dani, *On badly approximable numbers, Schmidt games and bounded orbits of flows*, in "Number theory and dynamical systems (York, 1987)", London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 134, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989, pp. 69–86.
[5] R. Diestel, *Graph theory*, 4th ed., Springer, Heidelberg, 2010.
[6] M. Einsiedler, A. Katok, E. Lindenstrauss, *Invariant measures and the set of exceptions to Littlewood's conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) 164 (2006), no. 2, 513–560.
[7] A. Gorodnik, *Open problems in dynamics and related fields*, J. Mod. Dyn. 1 (2007), no. 1, 1–35.
[8] D. Kleinbock, *Flows on homogeneous spaces and Diophantine properties of matrices*, Duke Math. J. 95 (1998), no. 1, 107–124.
[9] D. Kleinbock, G. A. Margulis, *Bounded orbits of nonquasiunipotent flows on homogeneous spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 171 (1996), 141–172.
[10] D. Kleinbock, B. Weiss, *Dirichlet's theorem on Diophantine approximation and homogeneous flows* J. Mod. Dyn. 2 (2008), no. 1, 43–62.
[11] D. Kleinbock, B. Weiss, *Modified Schmidt games and Diophantine approximation with weights*, Adv. Math. 223 (2010), no. 4, 1276–1298.
[12] D. Kleinbock, B. Weiss, *Modified Schmidt games and a conjecture of Margulis*, preprint, arXiv:1001.5017.
[13] N. Moshchevitin, *On some open problems in Diophantine approximation*, preprint, arXiv:1202.4539.
[14] A. Pollington, S. Velani, *On simultaneously badly approximable numbers*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 66 (2002), no. 1, 29–40.
[15] W. M. Schmidt, *On badly approximable numbers and certain games*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1966), 178–199.
[16] W. M. Schmidt, *Badly approximable systems of linear forms*, J. Number Theory 1 (1969), 139–154.
[17] W. M. Schmidt, *Diophantine approximation*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 785, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
[18] W. M. Schmidt, *Open problems in Diophantine approximation*, in "Diophantine approximations and transcendental numbers (Luminy, 1982)", Progr. Math. 31, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983, pp. 271–287.

LMAM, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES & BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100871, CHINA
E-mail address: `anjinpeng@gmail.com`